

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		1					
	APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/749,399	12/	/28/2000	Judith C. Espejo	BS00-129	5268	
	28970	7590	07/07/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	SHAW PITT IP GROUP	ΓMAN			DAVIS, TEMICA M		
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SUITE 1300 MCLEAN, V	'A 22102			2681  DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004	13	
					,		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	2				
	09/749,399	ESPEJO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
o .	Temica M. Davis	2681					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	:ss				
• •	VIC SET TO EVOIDE A	MONTH(C) FROM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of t I will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03.	lune 2004.						
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		atters, prosecution as to the me	erits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	· •					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		o by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority arraor oo o.o.o	3 1 10(4) (4) 51 (1).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			ine				
application from the International Burea	•		go				
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmont/c)							
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intension	v Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·					

Application/Control Number: 09/749,399

Art Unit: 2681

此小心

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 6-9, 12 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/749,399

Art Unit: 2681

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-9, 12, 13 and 15-25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,728,353. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both inventions are drawn to an interactive voice response system for pre-paid wireless services comprising: a peripheral device in communication with a mobile switching system, the mobile switching system capable of communicating with at least one wireless, an IVR application on the peripheral device comprising a menu driven system adapted to receive information from a customer.

Page 3

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-9, 12, 13 and 15-25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,748,066. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both inventions are drawn to an interactive voice response system for pre-paid wireless services comprising: a peripheral device in communication with a mobile switching system, the mobile switching system capable of communicating with at least one wireless, an IVR application on the peripheral device comprising a menu driven system adapted to receive information from a customer.

ياء الرعاد

Application/Control Number: 09/749,399

Art Unit: 2681

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Temica M. Davis whose telephone number is (703) 306-5837. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday (alternate Fridays) from 9:00am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Erika Gary can be reached on (703) 308-0123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Temica M. Davis

Page 4

Examiner

Art Unit 2681

June 25, 2004

TEMICA M. DAVIS PATENT EXAMINER